UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, : Case No. 1:18-CV-88

Plaintiff,

v. : JUDGE

SEVENTEEN THOUSAND EIGHT HUNDRED NINETY-THREE DOLLARS IN UNITED STATES CURRENCY

(\$17,893.00),

Defendant 1,

TWELVE THOUSAND NINE HUNDRED DOLLARS IN UNITED STATES CURRENCY (\$12,900.00),

Defendant 2,

ONE 1970 CADILLAC FLEETWOOD, VIN P0200185, WITH ALL ATTACHMENTS THEREON,

Defendant 3,

and

ONE 2017 GMC SIERRA PICKUP, VIN 3GTU2NEC0HG257114, WITH ALL ATTACHMENTS THEREON,

Defendant 4.

VERIFIED COMPLAINT FOR FORFEITURE IN REM

Plaintiff, United States of America, by its undersigned counsel, alleges the following for its action against the defendants in accordance with Supplemental Rule G(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. This is a civil action *in rem* brought to enforce 21 U.S.C. § 881(a)(4), which provides for the forfeiture to the United States of:

All conveyances, including aircraft, vehicles, or vessels, which are used, or are intended for use, to transport, or in any manner to facilitate the transportation, sale, receipt, possession, or concealment of property described in paragraphs (1), (2), or (9);

and/or 21 U.S.C. § 881(a)(6), which provides for the forfeiture to the United States of:

All moneys, negotiable instruments, securities, or other things of value furnished or intended to be furnished by any person in exchange for a controlled substance or listed chemical in violation of this subchapter, all proceeds traceable to such an exchange, and all moneys, negotiable instruments, and securities used or intended to be used to facilitate any violation of this subchapter.

Paragraph (1) of 21 U.S.C. § 881(a) states:

All controlled substances which have been manufactured, distributed, dispensed, or acquired in violation of this subchapter.

THE DEFENDANTS IN REM

- 2. Defendant 1 is Seventeen Thousand Eight Hundred Ninety-Three Dollars in United States Currency (\$17,893.00). On September 11, 2017, law enforcement agents seized Defendant 1 from the residence of Jonathan Ingram, located at 128 Beckett Street, Hamilton, Ohio, during the execution of a state search warrant. The United States subsequently deposited the funds into the Seized Asset Deposit Fund, where they will remain during the pendency of this action.
- 3. Defendant 2 is Twelve Thousand Nine Hundred Dollars in United States Currency (\$12,900.00). On September 15, 2017, a third party voluntarily turned over Defendant 2, which he had received from Jonathan Ingram, to a law enforcement agent. The United States subsequently deposited the funds into the Seized Asset Deposit Fund, where they will remain

during the pendency of this action.

- 4. Defendant 3 is a 1970 Cadillac Fleetwood, VIN P0200185, with All Attachments Thereon, which is registered to Jonathan Ingram. On September 11, 2017, law enforcement agents seized Defendant 3 from Southside's Detail and Wash, 811 Central Avenue, Hamilton, Ohio, during the execution of a state search warrant. Defendant 3 is in the possession of the United States Marshals Service, where it will remain during the pendency of this action.
- 5. Defendant 4 is a 2017 GMC Sierra Pickup, VIN 3GTU2NEC0HG257114, with All Attachments Thereon, which is registered to Jonathan Ingram. On September 11, 2017, law enforcement agents seized Defendant 4 from Jonathan Ingram during a traffic stop. Defendant 4 is in the possession of the United States Marshals Service, where it will remain during the pendency of this action.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 6. Plaintiff brings this action *in rem* in its own right to forfeit and condemn the defendants under 21 U.S.C. § 881(a)(4) and/or (a)(6). This Court has jurisdiction over an action commenced by the United States under 28 U.S.C. § 1345 and over an action for forfeiture under 28 U.S.C. § 1355(a).
- 7. This Court has *in rem* jurisdiction over the defendants under 28 U.S.C. § 1355(b)(1)(A) because acts and omissions giving rise to the forfeiture occurred in the Southern District of Ohio.
- 8. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1355(b)(1)(A) because acts and omissions giving rise to the forfeiture occurred in the Southern District of Ohio and pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1395 because the defendants were found in the Southern District of Ohio.

BASIS FOR FORFEITURE

- 9. The defendants are subject to forfeiture pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 881(a)(6) because the defendants represent property furnished or intended to be furnished by any person in exchange for a controlled substance, represent proceeds traceable to such an exchange, or were used or intended to be used to facilitate any violation of 21 U.S.C. § 841 or a conspiracy to commit such offense in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 846.
- 10. Defendant 4 also is subject to forfeiture pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 881(a)(4) because it was used or intended for use, to transport, or in any manner to facilitate the transportation, sale, receipt, possession, or concealment of property described in 21 U.S.C. § 881(a)(1).

FACTS

- 11. The Drug Enforcement Administration ("DEA") and other law enforcement agencies are investigating the distribution of controlled substances by individuals in Butler County, Ohio and elsewhere. The DEA has identified Jonathan Ingram ("Ingram") as a large-scale narcotics trafficker for years in Hamilton, Ohio, and the surrounding area.
- 12. On or about May 31, 2017, and July 28, 2017, law enforcement agents conducted controlled purchases of methamphetamine, totaling approximately ninety-three grams, from Ingram. During each transaction, agents observed Ingram arrive in a white 2017 GMC Sierra pickup truck (Defendant 4) at Southside's Detail and Wash, located at 811 Central Avenue, Hamilton, Ohio.
- 13. Continuing on July 28, 2017, agents observed Ingram engage in what appeared to be a narcotics transaction, based on their training and experience, with an unknown male in the parking lot of Southside's Detail and Wash. After the unknown male departed the area, a deputy

of the Butler County Sheriff's Office observed the individual commit three traffic violations and conducted a stop on the vehicle. The deputy seized approximately twenty-five grams of cocaine from the individual's vehicle.

- 14. On September 11, 2017, law enforcement agents observed Ingram, who was operating Defendant 4, travel to Dayton, Ohio. Based on their experience, law enforcement agents know that it is common for narcotics traffickers to replenish their drug supplies in Dayton, Ohio. While in Dayton, agents observed Ingram spend a short period of time at a residence on West Second Street before he headed toward Hamilton, Ohio. Law enforcement agents executed a traffic stop on Ingram based on no front license plate and on the results of their ongoing investigation.
- Narcotics Task Force and a trained, drug-detection canine performed a free air sniff on Defendant 4, which resulted in positive alerts on the vehicle. While conducting a probable cause search of Defendant 4, investigators discovered a brown plastic bag under the rear seat of the vehicle. Inside the plastic bag was a hard, brick-shaped object which was wrapped in black electric tape. DEA Task Force Agent ("TFA") Timothy Andrews found a white powdery substance after cutting through several layers of packaging to include clear tape, rubber, plastic wrap, and grease. The white powdery substance subsequently was lab tested and identified as approximately one kilogram of cocaine, a Schedule II controlled substance.
- 16. Law enforcement agents arrested Ingram and transported him to the Butler County Jail for an interview. TFA Andrews advised Ingram of his rights under *Miranda*. Ingram agreed to speak with TFA Andrews and signed a written waiver form. When asked about the package

that was located under the rear seat of Defendant 4, Ingram stated that it was cocaine or fentanyl. Ingram also mentioned that it could be drywall. TFA Andrews advised Ingram that a field test was conducted and that the substance tested positive for cocaine.

- 17. During the interview, Ingram advised that he had information about three kilograms of fentanyl that were coming into the Butler County area. When TFA Andrews inquired about the location of the fentanyl, Ingram indicated that he was to pick up the fentanyl later in the afternoon on September 11, 2017, and that an unknown person would call him. According to Ingram, once he received the call, he would drive to Dayton and pick up the fentanyl at a location disclosed to him during the call. Ingram stated he then would return to the Hamilton area and wait for a second call from an unknown subject who would pick up the fentanyl. During the exchange, Ingram stated that he would receive \$10,000.00 for holding the three kilograms of fentanyl. Ingram further stated that he had completed this type of transaction—holding three kilograms of fentanyl for \$10,000.00—on three separate occasions. Ingram indicated that he had spent nineteen years in prison and that he was going to do what he had been doing his whole life.
- 18. Ingram further admitted that he had picked up cocaine in Dayton on three separate occasions as follows: (1) six kilograms, (2) four or five kilograms, and (3) one kilogram (the latest transaction). Ingram stated that the kilograms were fronted to him and that he paid \$30,000.00 for the one kilogram but that he previously paid \$39,000.00 per kilogram.
- 19. Agents seized Defendant 4 at the scene of the traffic stop, following Ingram's arrest. Ingram had purchased Defendant 4 on or about March 23, 2017, for \$56,429.70. Ingram made two cash payments for the vehicle, each in the amount of \$13,000, and he financed the remaining balance.

- 20. Continuing on September 11, 2017, agents obtained a state search warrant for Ingram's residence, located at 128 Beckett Street, Hamilton, Ohio. During the execution of the search warrant, agents seized, among other things, four firearms, magazines, ammunition, baggies containing a white powdery substance, loose green vegetation believed to be marijuana, and an undetermined amount of United States currency from a gun safe in the master bedroom and from a jar in the kitchen. The DEA later determined that the seized currency, which represents Defendant 1, totaled \$17,893.00. The white powdery substances in the baggies were lab tested and identified as approximately 42.6 grams total of cocaine, a Schedule II controlled substance.
- 21. On September 11, 2017, agents also obtained a state search warrant for Ingram's business, Southside's Detail and Wash, located at 811 Central Avenue, Hamilton, Ohio. During the execution of the search warrant, agents seized, among other things, two bags of suspected marijuana from the office on a shelf, a clear baggie containing a white powdery substance from a black tray in the bay area of the business, two clear plastic baggies containing a crystal substance from the pocket of a shirt hanging in the office, and three kilogram-type wrappers from a dog food bag on the floor in the office. Agents also seized a 1970 Cadillac Fleetwood, VIN P0200185 (Defendant 3), which Ingram purchased on or about May 23, 2014, and claimed that it has a value of approximately \$25,000.00. The suspected narcotics were lab tested and identified as cocaine, marijuana, and methamphetamine.
- 22. On September 15, 2017, law enforcement agents learned that on July 3, 2017, Ingram paid an individual \$13,000.00 in United States currency as a down payment for the purchase of real estate. Ingram agreed to pay the seller an additional \$13,000.00 on August 11, 2017, to complete the transaction; however, the deal fell through prior to the final payment.

Ingram subsequently requested the return of the \$13,000.00. Following Ingram's arrest, the seller voluntarily turned over the remaining currency (\$12,900.00) in the form of a cashier's check, which represents Defendant 2, to a law enforcement agent.

- 23. On September 20, 2017, a federal grand jury returned a two-count Indictment against Ingram, charging him in Count 1 with distribution and possession with intent to distribute a controlled substance, in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1) and (b)(1)(B) and 18 U.S.C. § 2 and charging him in Count 2 with possession of a firearm by a prohibited person, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(1) and 18 U.S.C. § 924(a)(2) (Case No. 1:17-CR-107).
- 24. Although Ingram is licensed as a barber and has several businesses registered in Ohio, records show that for the time period of 2005 through 2016, Ingram has not filed any personal tax returns or any business tax returns for Southside Investments Group, LLC; Property Solutions of Southwest Ohio, LLC; and Generate Investments Group, LLC with the City of Hamilton. In addition, for the period of 2005 through 2016, Ingram has not filed any Ohio tax returns for personal income, corporate income, sales, and withholding.
- 25. Ingram has an extensive criminal history, which includes but is not limited to convictions for the following: aggravated robbery in 1982, carrying a concealed weapon in 1989, weapons under disability in 1989, drug abuse in 1989, aggravated trafficking in 1992, aggravated trafficking in 1996, preparation of drugs for sale in 2001, trafficking in drugs in 2007, and trafficking in marijuana in 2007. Ingram has served a significant amount of time in prison.

CLAIM FOR RELIEF

By reason of the facts set forth herein, the defendants are properly condemned as forfeited to the United States pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 881(a)(4) and/or (a)(6).

WHEREFORE, the plaintiff respectfully requests that:

(a) pursuant to Rule G(3)(b)(i), Supplemental Rules, the Clerk issue a warrant of arrest in rem, directing the United States to arrest and seize the defendants and to retain the same in its

custody subject to further order of the Court;

(b) the Court, pursuant to Rule G(4), Supplemental Rules, direct the United States to

give notice to all persons and entities having an interest in the defendants to assert in conformity

with the law a statement of any interest they may have, including notice by publication on the

official government website, www.forfeiture.gov, for 30 consecutive days;

(c) the forfeiture of the defendants to the United States be confirmed, enforced, and

ordered by the Court;

(d) the Court thereafter order the United States to dispose of the defendants as provided

by law; and

(e) the Court award the United States all other relief to which it is entitled, including

the costs of this action.

Respectfully submitted,

BENJAMIN C. GLASSMAN

United States Attorney

s/Deborah D. Grimes

DEBORAH D. GRIMES (0078698)

Assistant United States Attorney

Attorney for Plaintiff

221 East Fourth Street, Suite 400

Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

(513) 684-3711 / Fax (513) 684-6385

Deborah.Grimes@usdoj.gov

VERIFICATION

I, Timothy J. Andrews, hereby verify and declare under the penalty of perjury that I am a Task Force Agent with the Drug Enforcement Administration, that I have read the foregoing Verified Complaint for Forfeiture *in rem* and know the contents thereof, and that the matters contained in the complaint are true to my own knowledge, except that those matters stated to be alleged on information and belief and as to those matters, I believe them to be true.

The sources of my knowledge and information and the grounds of my belief are the official files and records of the United States, information supplied to me by other law enforcement officers, and my investigation of this case.

I hereby verify and declare under the penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated 2 . 7 . 18 (1500 HAS

TIMOTHY J. ANDREWS, Task Force Agent

Drug Enforcement Administration

JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS						
United States of America				Seventeen Thousand Eight Hundred Ninety-Three Dollars in United States Currency (\$17,893.00), et al.						
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Hamilton				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Hamilton						
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant ————————————————————————————————————						
										(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Deborah D. Grimes, Assistant United States Attorney 221 E. Fourth Street, Suite 400 Cincinnati, Ohio 45202
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in C	ne Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPA	AL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in			
✓ 1 U.S. Government			(For Diversity Cases Only) P	TF DEF		апа Опе вох ј	PTF	DEF		
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government	(U.S. Government Not a Party)		en of This State	1 🗆 1	Incorporated or Pr of Business In T		□ 4	□ 4	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	t			Citizen of Another State						
				en or Subject of a oreign Country	3 🗆 3	Foreign Nation		□ 6	□ 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)				Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.						
CONTRACT	TORTS			FORFEITURE/PENALTY		BANKRUPTCY		OTHER STATUTES		
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability	PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/				☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ☐ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157		☐ 375 False Claims Act ☐ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) ☐ 400 State Reapportionment		
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	Pharmaceutical			PROPERTY RIGHTS		☐ 410 Antitrust			
& Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act	Slander ☐ 330 Federal Employers'	Personal Injury Product Liability			☐ 820 Copyrights ☐ 830 Patent		☐ 430 Banks and Banking ☐ 450 Commerce			
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability	☐ 368 Asbestos Personal			☐ 835 Patent - Abbreviated		460 Deportation			
Student Loans	☐ 340 Marine	Injury Product				Drug Application	☐ 470 Rackete			
(Excludes Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 345 Marine Product Liability	Liability PERSONAL PROPER	etv –	LABOR	☐ 840 Trad	SECURITY	Corrupt 480 Consum	Organizat	ions	
of Veteran's Benefits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle	☐ 370 Other Fraud		10 Fair Labor Standards	☐ 861 HIA		☐ 490 Cable/S			
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle	☐ 371 Truth in Lending		Act		k Lung (923)	☐ 850 Securiti		dities/	
 ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability 	Product Liability 360 Other Personal	☐ 380 Other Personal Property Damage	□ 72	20 Labor/Management Relations	☐ 863 DIW	C/DIWW (405(g))	Exchan 890 Other S		ctions	
☐ 196 Franchise	Injury	☐ 385 Property Damage	□ 74	10 Railway Labor Act	☐ 865 RSI		☐ 891 Agricul			
	☐ 362 Personal Injury -	Product Liability		1 Family and Medical			☐ 893 Environ	mental Mat	itters	
REAL PROPERTY	Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITION	VS 77	Leave Act O Other Labor Litigation	EEDED	AL TAX SUITS	☐ 895 Freedor Act	n of Inforn	nation	
210 Land Condemnation	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights	Habeas Corpus:		1 Employee Retirement		s (U.S. Plaintiff	□ 896 Arbitrat	tion		
□ 220 Foreclosure	☐ 441 Voting	☐ 463 Alien Detainee		Income Security Act		efendant)	☐ 899 Admini		ocedure	
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	442 Employment	☐ 510 Motions to Vacate	;			Third Party		view or Ap	peal of	
240 Torts to Land245 Tort Product Liability	☐ 443 Housing/ Accommodations	Sentence 530 General	*		26 0	ISC 7609	Agency ☐ 950 Constitu	Decision	of	
290 All Other Real Property	☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - ☐ 535 Death Penalty			IMMIGRATION				State Statutes		
	Employment	Other:	□ 46 □ 46	2 Naturalization Application						
	☐ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other	Other 550 Civil Rights		465 Other Immigration Actions						
	☐ 448 Education	☐ 555 Prison Condition☐ 560 Civil Detainee -								
		Conditions of								
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in	n One Box Only)	Confinement					<u> </u>			
	moved from	Remanded from Appellate Court	J 4 Rein Reop	***************************************	er District	☐ 6 Multidistr Litigation Transfer		Multidis Litigatio Direct Fi	on -	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Forfeiture nursua	nt to 21 U.S.C. § 88		Do not cite jurisdictional state and/or (a)(6)	tutes unless di	iversity):				
	Forfeiture									
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.				DEMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: □ Yes ☒No						
		-,			J	OKI DEMARD.	. <u>-</u> 103	Airo		
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE Timothy	S. Blac	ck	DOCKI	ET NUMBER 1:	17-CR-107			
DATE	<u></u>	SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY (OF RECORD	The second second					
2/7/18 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		Dorel	COL	reme						
RECEIPT# AM	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUD	OGE			